

**Council Meeting  
Tuesday, October 4, 2022**

John Ewart, Municipal Solicitor  
Re: Municipality of Trent Lakes and Dewdney Mountain Farms Limited Haul Route Agreement

16 - 22

[Municipality of Trent Lakes and Dewdney Mountain Farms Limited Haul Route Agreement](#)

For the most part, the proposed agreement is sufficient as to form and content and serves to set out the obligations of the parties as to the use of Quarry Road and Ledge Road as a haul route for aggregate trucks.

ALTERNATE HAUL ROUTE

It would be the writer's recommendation that Section 13 be amended to obtain a more commitment by the proponent to make use of the unopened portion of Ledge Road as an alternate haul route rather than simply investigating the possibility of making use of the alternative haul route.

COUNTY ROAD ARTESIAN SPRING

Accordingly, it is unknown what authority the Municipality would have to direct the relocation of the Artesian well and what is required. The opinion is that this would be a decision solely within the discretion of the County of Peterborough and not that of the Municipality of Trent Lakes.

LOCATION OF WORKS

Pursuant to Section 2 of the draft agreement, Quarry Road and Ledge Road will be improved in five (5) distinct segments.

**However, the issue of the actual location of the proposed segment of the haul route as located on a forced road remains an outstanding issue that can only be resolved through a title investigation, a review of the history of maintenance having been carried out to the forced road and the completion of an up-to-date survey.** Biggest issue is the width of carriageway is not known. Road would not be able to locate there if not wide enough for vehicles. Survey is needed.

Questions –

Franzen – this file is 10-12 years old. Why do we just find out about the forced road now? This changes the whole context that we are dealing with.

Ewart - How much of the carriage path is required for the safe passage of vehicles? The significance was how much is required that brought it into focus.

Franzen – I had no idea it was on a forced road.

Ewart – Only one part is involved.

Armstrong –Had this been accommodated six years ago this is the first time that we are hearing this.

Ewart – determinate is how much maintenance is being carried out. When we determine width is necessary but we don't now that it is 66 feet but it may only be 40.. if 25 feet can be used to safely accommodate vehicle.

Armstrong - Why was the survey not done six years ago?

Ewart - It is more the type of vehicle to be used that makes concerns of traffic safety to be identified on that area.

Lambhead – It is vitally important that we know exact dimensions now.

Ewart – Agree. The survey can definitely be carried out.

Armstrong - I think it is pretty disappointing that we are getting surprises at the last minute including Dewdney(price) and this application which we have been dealing with two years and now we don't know whether the haul route can even go where we have been planning. For the record.

Motion to receive and I would like this document passed on to new council on December 5. Armstrong seconds. Carried.

Bob Taylor-Vaisey, Chair, Parks, Recreation and Culture Advisory Committee  
Re: Terms of Reference Review

#### [Terms of Reference Review](#)

Mandate- To provide advice to Council on priorities for planning and policy development with regards to sports, arts, leisure, culture, heritage, parks and recreation facilities and activities No change Scope To advise Council in order to meet the following objectives:

#### Membership and organization

Consideration will be given to citizen members who demonstrate both a diversity of experience, knowledge and disciplines related to culture, waterfront and trails, and parks and recreation, and, to the degree possible, the following skills: project management, financial planning and proven subject matter expertise. The inaugural PRCAC did not have members, with two exceptions, with these skills. Of the current 4 public members, 3 do and it has made a significant difference.

One new recommendation – Develop, on an annual basis, an annual workplan including financial and budgetary considerations

No questions

Clarkson – good presentation; asked question about where PRCAC sits on historical basis? Trails will always be there but memories will be lost.

Bob Taylor-Vesey - Agreed with Clarkson and said that in PRCAC master Plan is heritage. It is extremely complicated whether it is heritage designations or.....

Motion – Armstrong to review and accept. Jessie Clark suggested addition. I didn't catch it.

Accepted.

11.3.1. Steve Brockbank, Director of Emergency Services  
Re: Fire Prevention/Training Officer Position

#### [Fire Prevention/Training Officer Position](#)

That Council receive the Fire Prevention/Training Officer Report from the Director of Emergency Services and CAO/Treasurer for information; Financial Implications: No impact for 2022 due to wage savings during Deputy Fire Chief recruitment. Impact for 2023 and beyond is an additional staff with a salary ranging from (\$72,042.26 - \$84,761.82) plus benefits. Background: The position of Fire Prevention/Training Officer became vacant as the candidate previously in that role was the successful applicant for the Deputy Fire Chief position. Staff are proceeding with splitting the current Fire Prevention/Training Officer role into two positions: Fire Training Coordinator and Fire Prevention Inspector.

Clarkson – Are all differences required by government in training what is leading up to this?

Brockbank – yes. Mandatory certification portion is important part. Call for service today is greater. 420 calls to this time last year. 25 more than we ran this time last year. 35-40 more than year before last.

Clarkson – Is there any move to negotiate with County for some relief with ambulance while you guys are doing with fire.

Brockbank – At this time, no. We are willing to entertain this conversation but not to date. Everyone has their hand in the pot.

Armstrong – commends Brockbank for coming forward.

Motion – Receive and support CEO to move forward with this under delegated power.

Carried.

#### 11.4. Building and Planning

- 11.4.1. Sarah Dilamarter, Junior Planner 29 - 31  
Re: Application for Hold Removal (Johnson) - 375 Fire Route 345

[Application for Hold Removal \(Johnson\) - 375 Fire Route 345](#)  
[Removal of Hold Notice \(Johnson\)](#)

Background: On January 12th, 2021, Council passed Zoning By-law Amendment 2021-008 for the lands located in Parts 1 and 3, Plan 45R-8908; Parts 1, 2, and 4, Plan 45R-16510; and the remainder of Lot 35 between Part 1, Plan 45R-8908 and the west boundary of that lot (Galway). The zoning by-law amendment authorized one dock, and one in-water boathouse for the lands municipally known as 375 Fire Route 345. The subject lands were zoned from the "Shoreline Residential – Private Access (SR-PA)" Zone to the "Shoreline Residential – Private Access Exception 74 (SR-PA-74)" Zone. By-law B2021-008 included a Holding (H) provision, which required the owner to acquire the original shore road allowance and enter into a site plan agreement with the Municipality. The owner has now fulfilled both conditions, and therefore, Council may lift the Holding (H) provision.

Motion – Lamshead and Windover  
Carried.

#### Finance

- 11.5.1. Chasity Robertson, Deputy Treasurer 35 - 37  
Re: Manulife Benefit Plan Renewal

[Manulife Benefit Plan Renewal](#)

The premium change for the Municipality will result in an overall increase of 11.9% or \$43,576 effective November 1, 2022

Armstrong – interested Increase of dental claims

Chelsey – based on usage both county and local.

Structure of current plan with Manulife is for permanent staff only – age restriction and full time. Summer students are not covered for benefits. Attendants at landfill have some benefits. If staff are covered over 65 they are not covered. W

Teggart - We have staff working here that are over 65 they are not covered.

Motion – Receive report and approve annual renewal. Carried.

#### 11.6. Administration

- 11.6.1. Donna Teggart, CAO/Treasurer 38 - 41  
Re: Dedicated Mechanics Facility

[Dedicated Mechanic Update Report Final Version](#)

That Council direct staff to address the concerns raised by Council at this meeting regarding Design of the New Dedicated Mechanics Facility with the consultant and report back as soon as possible with concrete information, including opportunities to reduce the cost of the proposal and how it fits into the future vision of the entire municipality. The purpose of this report is to provide Council with feedback that was obtained from the consultant on specific concerns raised and, to provide Council with staff comments and possible solutions and challenges therein.

➤ R2021-477 - Recommendations from a Shared Space Study completed by Greenview were presented and Council directed that the Recreation and Facilities Department be moved into the new 49 Depot build and that all necessary renovations be undertaken to allow for effective operations for this dual use. Direction was provided by Council to include a 50% growth spacing requirement for Recreation & Facilities

Questions have arisen about the inclusion of an Overhead Crane as part of this build. T

Can the Size of the Building be Reduced? The size of the building could potentially be reduced by using the methodology of building what can be accommodated within an upset budget instead of considering current and future operational needs. The build could be re-tendered with a request to provide a design in keeping with the budget available, and size would be determined through this process.

The replacement of the sand domes could be deferred for an immediate construction savings of \$1,000,000 although it should be noted that delaying this replacement could result in increased Capital costs in the future

Potential Risks in Reducing the Building Size: ➤ Difficulty fitting in two departments ➤ Limits opportunity for future growth ➤ Could limit the ability to consolidate fleet services for other departments

Four options provided for proceeding.

Motion – Armstrong receives report for information and push forward to next council. Franzen addition - Make sure that this report comes to new council on Dec. 5. Armstrong accepts that as an amendment.

All in favor

Franzen – I do like option 4 taking crane out.

Clarkson – I go back 4 years ago as this is where we are. We settled with sections in three areas with major part in 49. Once PRCAC came I we were

providing kitchen and recreation facilities. The cost of \$60000 made that kind of ....Pickups don't have to be warm.

New council has to make sure that what we ended up is what we actually wanted. Don't be held to what has been said so far.

CEO – This report never included for trucks to be parked inside. Even with increase in recreation facilities.

11.6.2. Donna Teggart, CAO/Treasurer  
Re: Electronic Monitoring Policy

#### [Electronic Monitoring Policy](#)

Background: On April 11, 2022, the Province added a provision to the Employment Standards Act, 2000 (ESA) requiring that employers having 25 or more employees have a written policy on electronic monitoring by October 11, 2022. The Municipality's external Human Resource Consultant SHRP has assisted in the preparation of this policy.

Activities and procedures that may be monitored include: ➤ Employer-owned vehicles through GPS (Global Positioning Systems) technology ➤ Employee emails and websites visited ➤ Video surveillance at some premises ➤ Fob usage to access premises

Information collected may be used to evaluate employee performance and to ensure the appropriate use of Employer-owned equipment and resources. Corrective or disciplinary action may be taken where an employee is misusing organizational resources or property.

Teggart – Purpose is for staff to know when they could be monitored .

Municipality values and trusts staff.

Lambshead – makes motion that this is distributed to all staff by October 11 . Franzen seconds. Carried.

## **12. Correspondence for Information**

12.1. Eric K. Gillespie, Counsel for Trent Lakes Concerned Citizens  
Re: Proposed Dewdney Quarry

46

#### [Proposed Dewdney Quarry](#)

. As I know Council is aware, it is a forced road. And the drawings that we have seen so far, even the updated ones, do not indicate that the road can be built within the allowance that's currently there

which means expropriations and all those type of things. So, we think there are a number of very practical and real concerns. I will just add one additional issue which is the fact that as everyone knows there was an Ontario Municipal Board decision. That decision was made based on the direction of a Council where the Mayor participated. As you know, some issues have come up subsequently about the Mayor's participation.

We greatly respect that the Mayor has chosen not to continue to be involved in matters involving quarry applications. The difficulty is that the Mayor was involved in the previous decisions and that means. quite frankly, it may well be that there's an issue about whether that decision from the OMB process is actually valid given that the Mayor participated in instructing counsel, legal counsel to participate in all those types of things.

The last thing I would say is I don't think fundamentally that most people in the community oppose the idea that at some point there could be some aggregate extraction at this site. It's a large site, there's a lot of aggregate. Everybody respects that at some point there may be a reason for that to be accessed. But as I think Council is aware, there actually a number of different alternate haul routes and some of those have been discussed. Some of them came up at the OMB hearings. Some may not have been fully explored even in those forums.

All received.